

1 RODNEY S. DIGGS, Esq. (SBN 274459)
Email: RDiggs@imwlaw.com
2 IVIE MCNEILL WYATT PURCELL & DIGGS
444 South Flower Street, Suite 3200
3 Los Angeles, California 90071
Tel: (213) 489-0028
4 Fax: (213) 489-0552

5 LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO
Dale K. Galipo, Esq. (SBN 144074)
6 dalekgalipo@yahoo.com
Renee V. Masongsong, Esq. (SBN 281819)
7 rvalentine@galipolaw.com
21800 Burbank Boulevard, Suite 310
8 Woodland Hills, CA 91367
Tel: (818) 347-3333
9 Fax: (818) 347-4118

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff STEFFON BARBER

11
12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
13 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14 STEFFON BARBER, an individual,
15 Plaintiff,
16 vs.

17 COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
and CHRISTOPHER ALFRED,
18 Defendants.
19

Case No. 5:22-cv-00625-KK-DTB

Assigned to:
District Judge Kenly Kiya Kato
Magistrate Judge David T. Bristow

**PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF THE
CASE**

Final Pretrial Conference

Date: 01/08/2026

Time: 10:30 A.M.

Place: Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

Trial

Date: 01/26/2026

Time: 8:30 A.M.

Place: Courtroom 3, 3rd Floor

1 **TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES AND**
2 **COUNSEL:**

3 By and through their counsel of record in this action, Plaintiff STEFFON
4 BARBER hereby submits the following Statement of the Case.

5 DATED: December 23, 2025 LAW OFFICES OF DALE K. GALIPO
6

7
8 By /s/ Dale K. Galipo
9 Dale K. Galipo
10 Renee V. Masongsong
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11 Dated: December 23, 2025 IVIE MCNEILL WYATT
12 PURCELL & DIGGS

13 By: s/ Rodney S. Diggs
14 Rodney S. Diggs
15 Attorney for Plaintiff, Steffon Barber
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This case arises from the shooting of Steffon Barber by County of San Bernardino Sheriff's Department Deputy Christopher Alfred on April 27, 2021. The Plaintiff in this case is Steffon Barber. The Defendants are the County of San Bernardino and Deputy Alfred. Plaintiff contends that Deputy Alfred used excessive and unreasonable deadly force, was negligent in his conduct with Mr. Barber, and acted with reckless disregard for Mr. Barber's constitutional right to be free from excessive force. Plaintiff seeks damages to the extent permitted by law.

The defendants deny these claims and contend that Deputy Alfred's use of force was reasonable under the circumstances.